

Audit and Inspection Plan

July 2007



# **Audit and Inspection Plan**

**Exeter City Council**

**Audit 2007/2008**

External audit is an essential element in the process of accountability for public money and makes an important contribution to the stewardship of public resources and the corporate governance of public services.

Audit in the public sector is underpinned by three fundamental principles:

- auditors are appointed independently from the bodies being audited;
- the scope of auditors' work is extended to cover not only the audit of financial statements but also value for money and the conduct of public business; and
- auditors may report aspects of their work widely to the public and other key stakeholders.

The duties and powers of auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are set out in the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Local Government Act 1999 and the Commission's statutory Code of Audit Practice. Under the Code of Audit Practice, appointed auditors are also required to comply with the current professional standards issued by the independent Auditing Practices Board.

Appointed auditors act quite separately from the Commission and in meeting their statutory responsibilities are required to exercise their professional judgement independently of both the Commission and the audited body.

### **Status of our reports**

The Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies issued by the Audit Commission explains the respective responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body. Reports prepared by appointed auditors are addressed to non-executive directors/members or officers. They are prepared for the sole use of the audited body. Auditors accept no responsibility to:

- any director/member or officer in their individual capacity; or
- any third party.

### **Copies of this report**

If you require further copies of this report, or a copy in large print, in Braille, on tape, or in a language other than English, please call 0845 056 0566.

© Audit Commission 2007

For further information on the work of the Commission please contact:

Audit Commission, 1st Floor, Millbank Tower, Millbank, London SW1P 4HQ

Tel: 020 7828 1212 Fax: 020 7976 6187 Textphone (minicom): 020 7630 0421

[www.audit-commission.gov.uk](http://www.audit-commission.gov.uk)

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
Responsibilities	4
<b>CPA and Inspection</b>	<b>5</b>
Strengths in the Council's performance include the following	5
<b>Work under the Code of Audit Practice</b>	<b>7</b>
Financial statements	7
Use of resources	7
Data quality	8
Best Value Performance Plan	9
<b>Assessing risks</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Work specified by the Audit Commission</b>	<b>12</b>
Whole of government accounts (WGA)	12
National Fraud Initiative	12
<b>Voluntary improvement work</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Certification of grant claims and returns</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>The audit and inspection fee</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Other information</b>	<b>16</b>
The audit and inspection team	16
Independence and objectivity	17
Quality of service	17
Planned outputs	17
<b>Appendix 1 – Audit and inspection fee</b>	<b>19</b>
Specific audit risk factors	20
Assumptions	20
Specific actions Exeter City Council could take to reduce its audit and inspection fees	21
Process for agreeing any changes in audit fees	21
<b>Appendix 2 – Independence and objectivity</b>	<b>22</b>

## Introduction

- 1 This plan has been developed by the Relationship Manager and the appointed auditor. It sets out the audit and inspection work that we propose to undertake for the 2007/08 financial year. The plan is based on the Audit Commission's risk-based approach to audit planning and the requirements of Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA). It reflects:
  - audit and inspection work specified by the Audit Commission for 2007/08;
  - current national risks relevant to your local circumstances; and
  - your local risks and improvement priorities.
- 2 Your Relationship Manager will continue to help ensure further integration and co-ordination with the work of other inspectorates.
- 3 As the audit for 2006/07 has yet to be completed by the Audit Commission, we will continue to review the audit planning process for 2007/08, including the risk assessment, taking account of any issues emerging. In addition, there are a number of developments at a national level, such as review of the 2007 Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP) and the introduction of Internal Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS's), which may have a further impact on the level of audit work to be completed during 2007/08. Consequently, the information and fees in this plan will be kept under review and updated as necessary.

## Responsibilities

- 4 We comply with the statutory requirements governing our audit and inspection work, in particular:
  - the Audit Commission Act 1998;
  - the Local Government Act 1999 (best value inspection and audit); and
  - the Code of Audit Practice.
- 5 The Code of Audit Practice (the Code) defines auditors' responsibilities in relation to:
  - the financial statements (including the statement on internal control (SIC)); and
  - the audited body's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
- 6 The Audit Commission's Statement of responsibilities of auditors and of audited bodies sets out the respective responsibilities of the auditor and the Council. The Audit Commission has issued a copy of the Statement to every audited body.
- 7 The Statement summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and of the audited body begin and end, and our audit work is undertaken in the context of these responsibilities.

## CPA and Inspection

- 8 The Audit Commission's CPA and inspection activity is underpinned by the principle of targeting our work where it will have the greatest effect, based upon assessments of risk and performance.
- 9 The Council's CPA category is therefore a key driver in the Commission's inspection planning process. For CPA 2006, the Council was categorised as excellent.
- 10 We have applied the principles set out in the CPA framework, *CPA – district council framework from 2006*, recognising the key strengths and areas for improvement in the Council's performance.
- 11 Strengths in the Council's performance include the following.
  - Clear priorities that reflect local needs and a clear vision for the City.
  - A new customer service centre that has improved access to service information, reduced processing times and increased customer satisfaction.
  - Achievement of good value for money – with low council tax rates generally low service costs alongside high user satisfaction levels and service usage.
  - Some good examples of plans which reflect the needs of specific target groups and good progress being made in engaging with young people, ethnic and religious groups and people with disabilities.
  - Strong management of projects which is increasing the Council's capacity to realise its ambitious plans.
- 12 Areas for improvement in the Council's performance include the following.
  - Developing further assessment of the impact of capital and revenue investment by clearer targeting and better monitoring of outcomes.
  - Continuing to focus attention on those areas where indicators show that performance has deteriorated or remains low.
  - Strengthening target setting to help secure continued improvement in services.
  - Developing joint arrangements in order to deliver further procurement savings.

- 13 On the basis of our planning process we have identified where our inspection activity will be focused for 2007/08 as follows.

**Table 1 Summary of inspection activity**

Inspection activity	Reason/impact
Relationship Manager (RM) role	To act as the Commission’s primary point of contact with the Council and the interface at the local level between the Commission, the appointed auditor RSM Robson Rhodes and the other inspectorates, government offices and other key stakeholders.
Direction of travel (DoT) assessment	An annual assessment, carried out by the RM, of how well the Council is securing continuous improvement. The DoT assessment summary will be published on the Audit Commission’s website.

## Work under the Code of Audit Practice

### Financial statements

- 14 We will carry out the audit of the financial statements in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).
- 15 We are required to issue an opinion on whether the financial statements present fairly, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and the Statement of Recommended Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2007, the financial position of the Council as at 31 March 2008 and its income and expenditure for the year. Also, the Government has announced further developments in respect of compliance with International Financial Reporting Standards and we have yet to determine the impact of this on our opinion work.
- 16 We are also required to review whether the SIC has been presented in accordance with relevant requirements, and to report if it does not meet these requirements or if the SIC is misleading or inconsistent with our knowledge of the Council.

### Use of resources

#### Value for money conclusion

- 17 The Code requires us to issue a conclusion on whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. This is known as the value for money conclusion. The Code also requires auditors to have regard to a standard set of relevant criteria, issued by the Audit Commission, in arriving at their conclusion.
- 18 In meeting this responsibility, we will review evidence that is relevant to the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements. Where relevant work has been undertaken by other regulators we will normally place reliance on their reported results to inform our work.
- 19 We will also follow up our work from previous years to assess progress in implementing agreed recommendations.

#### Use of resources assessment

- 20 The Audit Commission has specified that auditors will complete a use of resources assessment for 2007/08. The assessment focuses on the importance of having sound and strategic financial management to ensure that resources are available to support the Council's priorities and improve services.
- 21 The work required to arrive at the use of resources assessment is fully aligned with that required to arrive at the auditor's value for money conclusion.

- 22 We will arrive at a score of 1 to 4, based on underlying key lines of enquiry, for each of the following themes.

Theme	Description
Financial reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preparation of financial statements.</li> <li>• External reporting.</li> </ul>
Financial management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Medium-term financial strategy.</li> <li>• Budget monitoring.</li> <li>• Asset management.</li> </ul>
Financial standing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Managing spending within available resources.</li> </ul>
Internal control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk management.</li> <li>• System of internal control.</li> <li>• Probity and propriety.</li> </ul>
Value for money	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Achieving value for money.</li> <li>• Managing and improving value for money.</li> </ul>

- 23 We will report details of the scores and judgements made to the Council. The scores will be accompanied, where appropriate, by recommendations of what the Council needs to do to improve its services.
- 24 The auditor's scores are reported to the Commission and are used as the basis for its overall use of resources judgement for the purposes of CPA.

## Data quality

- 25 The Audit Commission has specified that auditors will be required to undertake audit work in relation to data quality. This is based on a three-stage approach covering:
- stage 1 - management arrangements;
  - stage 2 - completeness check; and
  - stage 3 - risk-based data quality spot checks of a sample of performance indicators.
- 26 The work at stage 1 will link to our review of the Council's arrangements to secure data quality as required for our value for money conclusion and, together with the results of stage 2, will inform the risk assessment for the detailed spot check work to be undertaken at stage 3. The results of the work at stage 3 will inform the Commission's CPA assessment.

- 27 Our fee estimate reflects an assessment of risk in relation to the Council's performance indicators. This risk assessment may change depending on our assessment of your overall management arrangements at stage 1 and we will update our plan accordingly, including any impact on the fee.

## **Best Value Performance Plan**

- 28 We are required to carry out an audit of your Best Value Performance Plan (BVPP) and report on whether it has been prepared and published in accordance with legislation and statutory guidance.

## Assessing risks

- 29 The Audit Commission is committed to targeting its work where it will have the greatest effect, based upon assessments of risk and performance. This means planning our audit work to address areas of risk relevant to our audit responsibilities and reflecting this in the audit fees. It also means making sure that our work is co-ordinated with the work of other regulators, and that our work helps you to improve.
- 30 Our risk assessment process starts with the identification of the significant financial and operational risks applying at the Council with reference to:
- our cumulative knowledge of the Council, which is informed through discussions and review of output with the outgoing appointed auditors;
  - planning guidance issued by the Audit Commission;
  - the specific results of previous and ongoing audit work;
  - interviews with Council officers;
  - liaison with internal audit; and
  - the results of other review agencies' work where relevant.
- 31 We have not included a risk assessment for our audit as many of the specific risks may not become apparent until after the 2006/07 audit has been completed. We will assess the situation at that time and, if necessary, amend the plan accordingly. At this stage, we are aware of the following risks that are likely to impact on our audit of the financial statements.
- There are changes as a result of the 2006 Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP) that will apply to the 2007/08 accounts. Primarily this will involve the introduction of the revaluation reserve and a capital adjustment account to replace the fixed asset restatement account and the capital financing account. Consideration will need to be paid to the changes to ledgers and fixed asset registers to accommodate the amendments.
  - The 2007 SORP is under consultation and it is expected that there will be a number of presentation and disclosure adjustments that will impact on the 2007/08 accounts.
  - The Chancellor announced, in his Budget Speech in March 2007, that the Public Sector will comply fully with relevant International Financial Reporting Standards, IFRS, for accounting periods ending March 2009. We have yet to determine the full impact of this on our audit work but, to ensure that comparative information is available for the 2008/09 accounts, IFRS compliant figures need to be produced for the period commencing 1 April 2007.

- The Council's success in getting through the first round assessment for Unitary status means that is now awaiting the final decision as to whether it will be restructured into a Unitary Authority from 1 April 2009. The outcome is due to be announced at the end of July 2007. Should the Council be successful, there will be a significant number of challenging issues that the Council will need to consider and address in the lead up to reorganisation. We will discuss these, and the Council's response, once the outcome of the current process is known.
  - The Council is due to implement a new financial management system from 1 April 2008. The implementation of new systems have far reaching implications for the Council and we will consider the arrangements being put in place for both the successful introduction of the new system and the risk management arrangements supporting this.
  - The Council needs to put in place revised arrangements to respond to current and forthcoming government requirements in relation to waste management and climate change. There is a risk that revised arrangements may not meet government targets and there may be an associated financial impact where these are not met.
  - Whilst the Council has been successful in meeting previous efficiency targets, the identification and subsequent delivery of future opportunities will become increasingly challenging. We will review the Council's arrangements for identifying and evaluating those areas where efficiency gains might be obtained and how they monitor the delivery of the opportunities identified.
  - The Council needs to continue to implement the actions arising from previous audits to ensure its current assessment in use of resources is maintained and further advanced.
- 32** Our fee estimate for 2007/08 is based on the assumption that the current standard of working papers will be maintained; that internal audit will complete their planned work on key information systems to the agreed quality by 30 April 2008 and that the accounts will be prepared and fully supported by working papers by 30 June 2008.
- 33** For each of the significant risks identified in relation to our use of resources work, we consider the arrangements put in place by the Council to mitigate the risk, and plan our work accordingly.
- 34** Our initial risk assessment will be updated through our continuous planning process as the year progresses.

## Work specified by the Audit Commission

### Whole of government accounts (WGA)

- 35 We will be required to review and report on your WGA consolidation pack in accordance with the approach agreed with HM Treasury and the National Audit Office which is proportionate to risk.

### National Fraud Initiative

- 36 The Council participates in the National Fraud Initiative which is the Audit Commission's computerised data matching exercise designed to detect fraud perpetrated on public bodies. This work will be carried out by an individual appointed to assist in the audit of the Council's accounts (in accordance with section 3(9) of the Audit Commission Act 1998).

## Voluntary improvement work

- 37 Under section 35 of the Audit Commission Act 1998, the Commission may undertake voluntary improvement work at the request of the audited body. We will be happy to undertake any such work if it is requested and we have the appropriate expertise. Please contact the Relationship Manager if you wish to discuss any voluntary improvement work.

## Certification of grant claims and returns

38 We will continue to certify the Council's claims and returns on the following basis.

- Claims below £100,000 will not be subject to certification.
- Claims between £100,000 and £500,000 will be subject to a reduced, light-touch certification.
- Claims over £500,000 will be subject to a certification approach relevant to the auditor's assessment of the control environment and management preparation of claims. A robust control environment would lead to a reduced certification approach for these claims.

## The audit and inspection fee

- 39 The details of the structure of scale fees are set out in the Audit Commission's work programme and fee scales 2007/08. Scale fees are based on a number of variables, including the type, size and location of the audited body.
- 40 The total indicative fee for audit and inspection work included in this audit and inspection plan for 2007/08 is £93,600, which compares with the planned fee of £85,600 for 2006/07.
- 41 Further details are provided in Appendix 1 which includes a breakdown of the fee; specific audit risk factors; the assumptions made when determining the audit fee, for example, the timeliness and quality of draft accounts presented for audit and the supporting working papers; specific actions Exeter City Council could take to reduce its audit and inspection fees; and the process for agreeing any changes to the fee. The fee includes all work identified in this plan unless specifically excluded.
- 42 In addition we estimate that we will charge approximately £15,000 for the certification of claims and returns.
- 43 As indicated in paragraphs 3 and 35, the audit planning process will continue as the year progresses and it is likely that there will be some changes to our planned work and hence to the indicative fee quoted in paragraph 40 above. Any changes to the fee will be agreed with you.

## Other information

### The audit and inspection team

- 44 The key members of the audit and inspection team for the 2007/08 audit are shown in the table below.

**Table 2**

Name	Contact details	Responsibilities
Peter Lawrence Relationship Manager	<a href="mailto:p-lawrence@audit-commission.gov.uk">p-lawrence@audit-commission.gov.uk</a>	The primary point of contact with the authority and the interface at the local level between the Commission and the other inspectorates, government offices and other key stakeholders.
Barrie Morris Audit Engagement Lead, Grant Thornton UK	<a href="mailto:Barrie.Morris@gtuk.com">Barrie.Morris@gtuk.com</a>	Responsible for the overall delivery of the audit including the quality of outputs, signing the opinion and conclusion, and liaison with the Chief Executive, Corporate Director of Resources and the resources scrutiny committee.
Julie Masci Audit Manager	<a href="mailto:Julie.Masci@gtuk.com">Julie.Masci@gtuk.com</a>	Manages and co-ordinates the different elements of the audit work. Key point of contact for the Finance team.
Simon Jones Performance Lead	<a href="mailto:Simon.Jones@gtuk.com">Simon.Jones@gtuk.com</a>	Responsible for the delivery of elements of the use of resources work including the value for money theme of the use of resources assessment, and data quality work.

## Independence and objectivity

- 45 We are not aware of any relationships that may affect the independence and objectivity of the Appointed Auditor and the audit staff, which we are required by auditing and ethical standards to communicate to you.
- 46 We comply with the ethical standards issued by the APB and with the Commission's requirements in respect of independence and objectivity as summarised at Appendix 2.

## Quality of service

- 47 We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you are in any way dissatisfied, or would like to discuss how we can improve our service, please contact the Relationship Manager or Appointed Auditor in the first instance. Alternatively you may wish to contact the South West Head of Operations, Bill Sanderson.
- 48 If we are unable to satisfy your concerns, you have the right to make a formal complaint to the Audit Commission. The complaints procedure is set out in the leaflet *Something to Complain About* which is available from the Commission's website or on request.

## Planned outputs

- 49 Our reports will be discussed and agreed with the appropriate officers before being issued to the audit committee.

---

**Table 3**

<b>Planned output</b>	<b>Indicative date</b>
Audit Plan	July 2007
Interim audit memorandum	May 2008
Data quality report	September 2007
Opinion on the financial statements and value for money conclusion	September 2008
Report on financial statements to those charged with governance (ISA260)	September 2008
Use of resources report	December 2007

## 18 Audit and Inspection Plan | Other information

<b>Planned output</b>	<b>Indicative date</b>
Annual audit and inspection letter	December 2008
BVPP report (Corporate Plan)	December 2007

## Appendix 1 – Audit and inspection fee

- 1 Table 4 provides details of the planned audit and inspection fee for 2007/08 with a comparison to the planned fee for 2006/07.

**Table 4**

<b>Audit area</b>	<b>*Planned fee 2007/08 £</b>	<b>Planned fee 2006/07 £</b>
<b>Audit</b>		
Financial statements	61,600	56,000
Use of resources (including BVPP)	26,100	25,000
Total audit fee	87,700	81,000
<b>Inspection</b>		
Relationship management	2,950	4,600
Direction of Travel	2,950	
Total inspection fee	5,900	4,600
Total audit and inspection fee	93,600	85,600
Certification of claims and returns	15,000	16,000
Total	108,600	101,600

- 2 The Audit Commission scale audit fee for Exeter City Council is £114,100. The fee proposed for 2007/08, at £87,700, is 23 per cent below the scale fee and is within the normal level of variation specified by the Commission.
- 3 The Audit Commission has the power to determine the fee above or below the scale fee where it considers that substantially more or less work is required than envisaged by the scale fee. The Audit Commission may, therefore, adjust the scale fee to reflect the actual work that needs to be carried out to meet the auditor's statutory responsibilities, on the basis of the auditor's assessment of risk and complexity at a particular body.

- 4 It is a matter for the auditor to determine the work necessary to complete the audit and, subject to approval by the Audit Commission, to seek to agree an appropriate variation to the scale fee with the Council. The Audit Commission expects normally to vary the scale fee by no more than 30 per cent (upwards or downwards). This fee then becomes payable.
- 5 The fee (plus VAT) will be charged in according to the usual arrangements.

## Specific audit risk factors

- 6 In setting the audit fee we have taken into account the following specific risk factors:
  - audit fees are being uplifted by 2.75 per cent for inflation;
  - the Council's use of resources judgement was a level 3 in 2006;
  - the significant impact of the 2006 and 2007 SORP on the Council's accounting arrangements and the introduction of IFRS, the full impact of which is still to be determined; and
  - the Council does not gain Unitary Authority status from 1 April 2009.

## Assumptions

- 7 In setting the fee, we have assumed that:
  - the level of risk in relation to the audit of the financial statements is not significantly different from that identified for 2006/07;
  - you will inform us of significant developments impacting on our audit;
  - internal audit meets the appropriate professional standards;
  - internal audit undertakes appropriate work on all systems that provide material figures in the financial statements sufficient that we can place reliance for the purposes of our audit;
  - good quality working papers and records will be provided to support the financial statements;
  - requested information will be provided within agreed timescales;
  - prompt responses will be provided to draft reports; and
  - additional work will not be required to address questions or objections raised by local government electors.
- 8 Where these assumptions are not met, we will be required to undertake additional work, which is likely to result in an increased audit fee. The fee for the audit of the financial statements will be re-visited when we issue the opinion audit plan.

- 9 Changes to the plan will be agreed with you. These may be required if:
- new residual audit risks emerge;
  - additional work is required of us by the Audit Commission or other regulators; and
  - additional work is required as a result of changes in legislation, professional standards or as a result of changes in financial reporting.

## **Specific actions Exeter City Council could take to reduce its audit and inspection fees**

- 10 The Audit Commission requires its auditors to inform a council of specific actions it could take to reduce its audit and inspection fees.
- 11 As newly-appointed auditors it is too early for us to identify specific opportunities. However, where we have identified risks in this plan, an effective and well-evidenced approach by the Council to tackling those risks will help ensure that audit and inspection fees are kept low.

## **Process for agreeing any changes in audit fees**

- 12 If we need to make any significant amendments to the audit fee during the course of this plan, we will firstly discuss this with the Chief Executive/Corporate Financial Advisor. We will then prepare a report outlining the reasons why the fee needs to change for discussion with the audit committee.

## Appendix 2 – Independence and objectivity

- 13 Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission are required to comply with the Commission’s Code of Audit Practice and Standing Guidance for Auditors, which defines the terms of my appointment. When auditing the financial statements auditors are also required to comply with auditing standards and ethical standards issued by the Auditing Practices Board (APB).
- 14 The main requirements of the Code of Audit Practice, Standing Guidance for Auditors and the standards are summarised below.
- 15 International Standard on Auditing (UK and Ireland) 260 (Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance) requires that the appointed auditor:
  - discloses in writing all relationships that may bear on the auditor’s objectivity and independence, the related safeguards put in place to protect against these threats and the total amount of fee that the auditor has charged the client; and
  - confirms in writing that the APB’s ethical standards are complied with and that, in the auditor’s professional judgement, they are independent and their objectivity is not compromised.
- 16 The standard defines ‘those charged with governance’ as ‘those persons entrusted with the supervision, control and direction of an entity’. In your case, the appropriate addressee of communications from the auditor to those charged with governance is the audit committee. The auditor reserves the right, however, to communicate directly with the authority on matters which are considered to be of sufficient importance.
- 17 The Commission’s Code of Audit Practice has an overriding general requirement that appointed auditors carry out their work independently and objectively, and ensure that they do not act in any way that might give rise to, or could reasonably be perceived to give rise to, a conflict of interest. In particular, appointed auditors and their staff should avoid entering into any official, professional or personal relationships which may, or could reasonably be perceived to, cause them inappropriately or unjustifiably to limit the scope, extent or rigour of their work or impair the objectivity of their judgement.

**18** The Standing Guidance for Auditors includes a number of specific rules. The key rules relevant to this audit appointment are as follows:

- appointed auditors should not perform additional work for an audited body (ie work over and above the minimum required to meet their statutory responsibilities) if it would compromise their independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be compromised. Where the audited body invites the auditor to carry out risk-based work in a particular area that cannot otherwise be justified as necessary to support the auditor's opinion and conclusions, it should be clearly differentiated within the audit plan as being 'additional work' and charged for separately from the normal audit fee;
- auditors should not accept engagements that involve commenting on the performance of other auditors appointed by the Commission on Commission work without first consulting the Commission;
- the Engagement Lead responsible for the audit should, in all but the most exceptional circumstances, be changed at least once every five years;
- the Engagement Lead and senior members of the audit team are prevented from taking part in political activity on behalf of a political party, or special interest group, whose activities relate directly to the functions of local government or NHS bodies in general, or to a particular local government or NHS body; and
- the Engagement Lead and members of the audit team must abide by the Commission's policy on gifts, hospitality and entertainment.